

ABSTRACTS

Research Findings: Abstracts from Recent Research

Testing the Result of the Electromagnetic Field Interference When A Third Person Is Present While Providing NAET® Treatment To A Patient Randomized, Double Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial conducted by D.S. Nambudripad, MD., DC., L.Ac., Ph.D., and NAET® Research Associates

Mohan K. Moosad, DBA, M.Ac., ND.

Abstract

Background: It has been suspected in our clinic patients that when family, friends, children, other observers or companions accompany the patients into the treatment room, the patients are losing their treatments. In such cases, more office visits for more treatments on the same allergen is needed to successfully complete the treatment.

Purpose

The purpose of the study was to determine the effect of a third person's presence in the patient's electromagnetic field while administering NAET® treatment. The study utilizes an experimental design with random assignment to two groups, consisting of treatment/experimental, and treatment/control groups.

Objective: We sought to determine the effect of a third person's presence in the patient's electromagnetic field while administering NAET® treatment.

Research Design

Selection of the Subjects, Randomization and Blinding: - : The study was limited to the established patients in our clinic who came for NAET® treatments on a Wednesday. The Wednesday was chosen since it was a full working day and more patients will be treated on Wednesdays. First patient and then onwards all odd number of arrivals at the clinic were selected as experimental subject. Second patient, then onwards all even number of arrivals were selected as controls.

Inclusion Criteria

The primary inclusion criterion was that the subject selected was an existing patient and he/she was scheduled for NAET® on that day. All the subjects included were required to sign a consent form, which allowed the researcher to designate them as subjects for the study.

Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria were:

- a. All new patients were excluded.
- b. Serious illness, e.g., cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, kidney diseases, heart diseases, pregnancy, mental diseases, and history of anaphylaxis.

12 females, and 12 males ages ranged between 18-60 years were randomly assigned to 2 groups:

(1) Experimental group: 12 subjects were assigned to this group. 8 females & 4 males.

(2) Control group: 12 subjects were assigned to this group. 8 males 4 females.

Procedure:

Experimental Subjects:

They were treated while a third person was watching the NAET® treatments from 2 feet proximity of the treatment table.

Control group:

They were treated alone in a room by the treating doctor and no one else was present during the whole procedure.

Evaluation Methods:

All subjects were advised to go home immediately after completing NAET® Tx. They were asked to return after 25 hours, within seven days for reexamination.

Duration Of The Study

The study duration was 7 days.

Results: After 25 hours within seven days from initial NAET® treatments, the subjects were rechecked and the results recorded.

Result: Experimental Grp - 1 passed the treatment and 11 failed the treatment.

Control Grp: All 12 patients passed the treatment.

NAET® Treatment was not successful in 96% of the experimental group who were treated in the presence of a third person, while the NAET® Treatment was 100% successful in the control group who were treated alone in a treatment room.

Conclusion: The study concluded that while administering NAET® treatments, a third person (a note taking nurse, child, another relative, animal, etc.) should not be present. The third person's energy field can interfere with the subject's energy field and cause the treatment to be unsuccessful. It is essential to treat the subject alone in the treatment room for the successful completion of the NAET® treatment.

Reprint Request to:

NAR Foundation
6714 Beach Blvd.
Buena Park, CA 90621

email: narfoundation@yahoo.com